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# Executive Summary

This analysis report provides a comprehensive overview of the findings and conclusions derived from working on Acme. The primary objective of this analysis was to explain the tasks.

# Revision Table

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Revision Number | Date | Description |
| v1r0 | 02/14/2024 | Follow up |
| v1r1 | 02/16/2024 | 1st deliverable |
| v2r0 | 02/21/2024 | Follow up |
| v2r1 | 03/06/2024 | Follow up |
| v2r2 | 03/08/2024 | 2nd deliverable |
| v3r0 | 04/03/2024 | Follow up |
| v3r1 | 04/10/2024 | Follow up |
| v3r2 | 04/22/2024 | Follow up |
| v3r3 | 04/24/2024 | Follow up |
| v3r4 | 04/25/2024 | 3rd deliverable |

# Introduction

This is the analysis report of the first deliverable of student #3. It will talk about the requirements that required some analysis on how to address them, the choices that could be made, and the one that was chosen.

# Contents

In this deliverable, there have been certain features on certain entities on which an analysis has been performed.

Information requirements:

Requirement 6 STUDENT 3 – Developer operations on training module:

* In this requirement there was not much ambiguity beyond the above problems, so it went smoothly.

Requirement 7 STUDENT 3 – Developer operations on training sessions:

* In relation to the previous deliverable, problems were already encountered in modelling certain requirements. However, it has been possible to do it correctly, albeit with variations thanks to the meeting with the client in order to model the requirement correctly.
* In the previous release, the boolean attribute "draftMode" was added to the training session entity, in order to be able to perform the publish operation. However, due to the fact that in the requirements it was modelled that the relationship between training module and training session was a composition, this makes the existence of training session by itself impossible. Therefore, this property was removed, and the requirement to publish a training session was modelled in such a way that it will be published once a training module is published.
* Beyond this problem, no other complex problems were found.

Requirement 8 STUDENT 3 – – Operations by anonymous principals on user accounts:

* In this requirement I did not found much ambiguity, it was developed without problems.

Requirement 17 STUDENT 3 – – Developer operations on developer dashboards:

* In this requirement I did not found much ambiguity, it was developed without problems.

Requirement 18 STUDENT 3 – Operations by developerson user accounts:

* In this requirement I did not found much ambiguity, it was developed without problems.

Requirement 19 STUDENT 3 – – Operations by any principals on trainingmodules:

* In this requirement I did not found much ambiguity, it was developed without problems.

# Conclusion

Everything went as expected, the requirements were developed on time and the client meetings were useful.
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